	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,895	ROTHMAN ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAE U. YU	2185	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-83) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY is NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course.	THIS initiative
1. This communication is responsive to appeal brief 12/14/20	<u>109</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,2,4,5,8-11,13,14,17-19,21-24	1,26,27,30 and 31.		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur     a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of the:	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) o	r (f).	
-,,,,,			
Certified copies of the priority documents have		- NI-	
Certified copies of the priority documents have	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received	in this national stage application from	m the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requireme	ents
<ol> <li>A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give</li> </ol>			OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review	( PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	,		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of	
Identifying Indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on th he header according to 37 CF	e drawings in the front (not the back) o R 1.121(d).	of
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			e
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. I Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application	
Notice of Praftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	mmary (PTO-413),	
	Paper No./I	Mail Date <u>2/24/2010</u> .	
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🛭 Examiner's	Amendment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
of Biological Material	9. 🔲 Other		

Application/Control Number: 10/823,895

Art Unit: 2185

### EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with David Victor (39,867) on 2/24/2010.

The application has been amended as follows:

As per claim 1:

A method, comprising:

receiving an I/O request to write an update to an object in storage;

determining whether an amount of fragmentation of the object in the storage exceeds a fragmentation threshold indicating an acceptable number of bytes stored in non-contiguous locations in response to receiving the I/O request:

determining at least one logical partition including the object;

determining whether the object is read-only;

if the object is not read-only and if the object is within one logical partition, defragmenting the object in storage so that blocks in storage including the object are contiguous in response to receiving the I/O request to write the update to the object, wherein the request to write the update to the object causes the defragmentation operation; and

executing the I/O request to write the update to the object in storage without defragmenting the object in response to determining at least one of that the object is included in more than one logical partition, that the object is read-only, and that the amount of fragmentation does not exceed the fragmentation threshold.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/823,895

Art Unit: 2185

# As per claim 10:

A system in communication with storage, comprising:

circuitry enabled to:

receive an I/O request to write an update to an object in the storage;

determining whether an amount of fragmentation of the object in the

storage exceeds a fragmentation threshold indicating an acceptable number of

bytes stored in non-contiguous locations in response to receiving the I/O request;

determining at least one logical partition including the object:

determining whether the object is read-only:

if the object is not read-only and if the object is within one logical partition, defragment the object in storage so that blocks in storage including the object are contiguous in response to receiving the I/O request to write the update to the object, wherein the request to write the update to the object causes the defragmentation operation; and

execute the I/O request to write the update to the object in storage <u>without</u> <u>defragmenting the object in response to determining at least one of that the object is included in more than one logical partition, that the object is read-only, and that the amount of fragmentation does not exceed the fragmentation threshold.</u>

As per claim 19:

A system, comprising:

storage:

a storage controller coupled to the storage, wherein the storage controller is enabled to:

receive an I/O request to write an update to an object in the storage;

determining whether an amount of fragmentation of the object in the

storage exceeds a fragmentation threshold indicating an acceptable number of

bytes stored in non-contiauous locations in response to receiving the I/O request;

Application/Control Number: 10/823,895

Art Unit: 2185

determining at least one logical partition including the object; determining whether the object is read-only;

if the object is not read-only and if the object is within one logical partition, defragment the object in storage so that blocks in storage including the object are contiguous in response to receiving the I/O request to write the update to the object, wherein the request to write the update to the object causes the defragmentation operation; and

execute the I/O request to write the update the object in storage <u>without</u> <u>defragmenting the object in response to determining at least one of that the object is included in more than one logical partition, that the object is read-only, and that the amount of fragmentation does not exceed the fragmentation threshold.</u>

## As per claim 23:

An article of manufacture comprising at least one of a computer readable storage medium having code executed by a processor and a hardware device having logic to communicate with a storage and perform operations, the operations comprising:

receive an I/O request to write an update to an object in storage;

determining whether an amount of fragmentation of the object in the storage exceeds a fragmentation threshold indicating an acceptable number of bytes stored in non-contiguous locations in response to receiving the I/O request:

<u>determining at least one logical partition including the object;</u> determining whether the object is read-only;

if the object is not read-only and if the object is within one logical partition, defragment the object in storage so that blocks in storage including the object are contiguous in response to receiving the I/O request to write the update to the object, wherein the request to write the update to the object causes the defragmentation operation; and

execute the I/O request to write the update to the object in storage <u>without</u> <u>defragmenting the object in response to determining at least one of that the object is</u> Application/Control Number: 10/823.895

Art Unit: 2185

included in more than one logical partition, that the object is read-only, and that the amount of fragmentation does not exceed the fragmentation threshold.

As per claims 32-34: (Cancelled)

### Conclusion

# A. <u>Subject Matter Considered Allowable</u>

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-11, 13, 14, 17-19, 21-24, 26, 27, 30 and 31 are allowable.

The primary reasons for allowance of <u>independent claims 1, 10, 19 and 23</u> in the instant application is the combination with the inclusion in these claims that "if the object is not read-only and if the object is within one logical partition, defragmenting the object in storage so that blocks in storage including the object are contiguous in response to receiving the I/O request to write the update to the object, wherein the request to write the update to the object, wherein the request to write the update to the object in storage without defragmenting the I/O request to write the update to the object in storage without defragmenting the object in response to determining at least one of that the object is included in more than one logical partition, that the object is read-only, and that the amount of fragmentation does not exceed the fragmentation threshold". The prior art of record neither anticipates nor renders obvious the above recited combination. The remaining claims depend either directly or indirectly on the allowed claims 1, 10, 19 and 23, and are allowable for the same

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's response must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(b) and § 707.07(a) of the MPEP.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,895

Art Unit: 2185

B. Direction of Future Remarks

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jae Un Yu who is normally available from 9:00 A.M. to

5:30 P.M. Monday thru Friday and can be reached at the following telephone number:

(571) 272-1133.

If attempts to reach the above noted examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

the Examiner's supervisor, Sanjiv Shah, can be reached at the following telephone

number: (571) 272-4098.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jae U Yu/

Examiner, Art Unit 2185

/Sanjiv Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2185